

Case Number:	CM13-0030136		
Date Assigned:	03/17/2014	Date of Injury:	01/15/2008
<b>Decision Date:</b>	04/23/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	09/09/2013
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	Application	09/25/2013
		Received:	

## HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to a Physician Reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The Physician Reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management, and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The Physician Reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

## CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 57-year-old female with a 1/15/08 date of injury. At the time (8/26/13) of request for authorization for Toxicology - Urine Drug Screen Comprehensive UDS \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, there is documentation of subjective (worsening back pain and no relief from her last caudal epidural steroid injection) and objective (positive facet loading bilaterally) findings, current diagnoses (bilateral sacroiliac joint dysfunction, coccydynia, lumbalgia, lumbar radiculopathy, lumbar spondylosis, and bilateral lumbar facet syndrome), and treatment to date (physical therapy, TENS, heat, ice, caudal epidural steroid injection, and medications (including Norco and Flexeril since at least 9/24/12). There is no documentation of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control in patient under on-going opioid treatment.

## IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

URINE DRUG SCREEN COMPREHENSIVE UDS	
Upheld	

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, Section Opioids, steps.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, Section Opioids, On-Going Management Page(s): 78.

**Decision rationale:** The MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines identify documentation of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control in patient under on-going opioid treatment, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of Urine Drug Screen. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of bilateral sacroiliac joint dysfunction, coccydynia, lumbalgia, lumbar radiculopathy, lumbar spondylosis, and bilateral lumbar facet syndrome. In addition, there is documentation of on-going opioid treatment. However, there is no documentation of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Toxicology - Urine Drug Screen Comprehensive UDS